arXiv:1405.5333v1 [math.PR] 21 May 2014

One-dimensional reflected diffusions with two boundaries and an inverse first-hitting problem

Mario Abundo*

Abstract

We study an inverse first-hitting problem for a one-dimensional, time-homogeneous diffusion $X(t)$ reflected between two boundaries a and b, which starts from a random position η. Let $a \leq S \leq b$ be a given threshold, such that $P(\eta \in [a, S]) = 1$, and F an assigned distribution function. The problem consists of finding the distribution of η such that the first-hitting time of X to S has distribution F. This is a generalization of the analogous problem for ordinary diffusions, i.e. without reflecting, previously considered by the author.

Keywords: First-hitting-time, inverse first-hitting problem, reflected diffusion
Mathematics Subject Classification: 60J60, 60H05, 60H10.

1 Introduction

Reflected diffusion processes with one or two boundaries play an important role in a variety of applications ranging from Economics, Finance, Queueing, and Mathematical Biology. As far as Economics and Finance are concerned, we mention e.g. certain models for the currency exchange rate ([12], [13], [17], [27], [44]); for other applications in Economics, and Insurance, see e.g. [38], [45]. As for Queueing theory, diffusions with reflecting boundaries arise as heavy-traffic approximations of queueing systems (see e.g. [1], [2], [21] for reflected Brownian motion, and [43], [47], [48] for reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process). Reflected OU process appears also in certain models from Mathematical Biology (see e.g. [39]). For further applications, see e.g. [31] and references therein. In all these, the knowledge of the distribution of the first-passage-time (FPT) of the reflected diffusion through an assigned barrier is very important, in order to obtain a more precise insight of the modeled phenomenon. Although FPT problems have been studied mostly for ordinary diffusions, i.e. without reflecting (see e.g. [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [15], [16], [19], [35], [40], [41]), more recently (see e.g. [14], [30], [38]) some results appeared about the FPT of a one-dimensional reflected diffusion, through a threshold S.

In this paper, we focus on FPT problems for a one-dimensional, temporally homogeneous reflected diffusion process $X(t)$ with boundaries a and b, which is the solution of the stochastic differential equation with reflecting boundaries (SDER):

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = \mu(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB_t + dL_t - dU_t \\ X(0) = \eta \in [a, b] \end{cases}, \tag{1.1}$$

where B_t is standard Brownian motion, the initial position η is a random variable, independent of B_t, $L = \{L_t\}$ and $U = \{U_t\}$, $t \geq 0$, are the *regulators* of points a and b, respectively, namely the local times of X at a and b. The processes L and U are uniquely determined by the following properties (see e.g. [21]):

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università "Tor Vergata", via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Rome, Italy. E-mail: abundo@mat.uniroma2.it

(i) both L_t and U_t are continuous nondecreasing processes with $L_0 = U_0 = 0$;
(ii) $X(t) \in [a, b]$ for every time $t \geq 0$;
(iii) L and U increase only when $X = a$ and $X = b$, respectively, that is, for $t \geq 0$, $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{X(s)=a\}} dL_s = L_t$ and $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{X(s)=b\}} dU_s = U_t$.

Under certain mild regularity conditions on the coefficients $\mu(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ (see e.g. [34]), for fixed initial value, the SDER (1.1) has a unique strong solution $X(t)$ which remains in the interval $[a, b]$ for every time $t \geq 0$. For this reason, $X(t)$ is also called a *regulated diffusion* between a and b.

When X is Brownian motion ($\mu \equiv 0,\ \sigma \equiv 1$) with only reflecting boundary $a = 0$, the SDER (1.1) in its integral form becomes the Skorohod's equation for reflected BM, that is, $X(t) = X(0) + B_t + l_t\ , X(0) \geq 0$, where $X(t)$ and l_t have to be found as continuous functions under the conditions that $X(t) \geq 0,\ l_0 = 0$ and l_t is non-negative, nondecreasing, flat outside the set $\{t : X(t) = 0\}$. The Skorohod's equation has a unique solution for all but a negligeable class of Brownian paths, and it is given by (see [36], [37], [42]):

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} X(0) + B_t\ , & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ X(0) + B_t + l_t\ , & t > T \end{cases}\ , \tag{1.2}$$

where $l_t = \sup_{s\in[0,t]} B_s^-$ and $T = \inf\{t > 0 : B_t \leq 0\}$ (here $B_t^- = -\min\{B_t, 0\}$). The process X is identical in law to the diffusion with generator $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\phi = \frac{1}{2}\phi''$ subject to the reflecting boundary condition $\phi'_+(0) = \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{1}{t}\ (\phi(t) - \phi(0)) = 0$. Moreover (see e.g. [23], [36]), l_t is equivalent in law to $L_t = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0,\epsilon)}(X(s))ds$, i.e. the local time of X at zero.

Let X be the solution of the SDER (1.1); if $S \in [a, b]$ is a threshold such that $P(a \leq \eta \leq S) = 1$, we consider the FPT of X through S :

$$\tau_S = \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) = S\} \tag{1.3}$$

and we denote by $\tau_S(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) = S|\eta = x\}$ the FPT of X through S with the condition that $\eta = x$. We assume that $\forall x \in [a, S],\ \tau_S(x)$ is finite with probability one and that it possesses a density $f(t|x)$.

Usually, in the FPT problem the initial position $X(0)$ is assumed to be deterministic and fixed to a value x, then the *direct* problem consists of finding the FPT density $f(t|x) = f_S(t|x)$ or the moments of $\tau_S(x)$. Notice that, while for ordinary diffusions a certain number of results about the direct FPT problem is available in the literature (see the papers cited above), for reflected diffusion processes only a few papers appeared on this topics (see e.g. [14], [30], [38]).
The *inverse* FPT problem for diffusions generally focuses on determining the barrier S, when $f(t|x)$ is given (see e.g. [5], [46]). Since we assume that the initial position η is random, we consider a slight modification of the problem, that is the following inverse first-passage-time (IFPT) problem. Let be $S \in [a, b]$ an assigned barrier, and suppose that the initial position $X(0) = \eta$ is independent of B_t and $P(a \leq \eta \leq S) = 1$; for a given distribution F, our aim is:

to find the density g of η (if it exists) for which it results $P(\tau_S \leq t) = F(t)$.

This IFPT problem has interesting applications in Mathematical Finance, in particular in credit risk modeling, where the FPT represents a default event of an obligor (see e.g. [25]), in Biology, specially in the framework of diffusion models for neural activity (see e.g. [29]), and in Queueing theory (see e.g. [1], [2], [21]). For ordinary diffusions, it was studied in [25] in the case of Brownian motion, while some extensions to more general processes were obtained in [3], [4].

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the formulation of the problem and the main results, in Section 3 some explicit examples are reported.

2 Notations, formulation of the problem and main results

For $a < b$, let $X(t)$ be a one-dimensional, time-homogeneous diffusion with reflecting boundaries a and b, which is solution of the SDER (1.1), whose drift $\mu(\cdot)$ and infinitesimal variance $\sigma^2(\cdot)$ are supposed to be sufficiently regular functions (see [34]), in order to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the strong solution, for fixed initial condition. Then, $X(t)$ turns out to be a time-homogeneous strong Markov process with infinitesimal generator:

$$\mathcal{L}\phi(x) = \mu(x)\phi'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)\phi''(x),\ x \in (a,b) \tag{2.1}$$

acting on C^2-functions ϕ on (a,b).

Let $S \in [a,b]$ a given barrier; if $x \in [a,S]$, we denote by $\tau_{x\uparrow S}(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) = S | X(0) = x\}$ the first-hitting time of X to S, with the condition $X(0) = x$, namely the FPT of X through S, "from below". In analogous manner, if $x \in [S,b]$, we denote by $\tau_{x\downarrow S}(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) = S | X(0) = x\}$ the FPT of X through S, "from above". To simplify notations, from now on, we will denote $\tau_{x\uparrow S}(x)$ by $\tau_S(x)$ and $\tau_{x\downarrow S}(x)$ by $\widetilde{\tau}_S(x)$. We will suppose that $\tau_S(x)$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_S(x)$ are a.s. finite, for every fixed x.
Preliminarily, we recall some facts concerning the direct first-hitting problem for X.

2.1 The Laplace transform and the moments of the first-hitting time of X to S

The following result holds:

Theorem 2.1 ([14]) *Let X be the solution of the SDER (1.1) with deterministic and fixed initial condition $X(0) = x$, and let $S \in [a,b]$. For $x \in [a,S]$ and $\theta \geq 0$, suppose that $u(x) = u_\theta(x)$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u(x) = \theta u(x),\ x \in (a,S) \\ u'(a) = 0 \end{cases} . \tag{2.2}$$

Then, if $u(S) \neq 0$ for $S \in [x,b]$, the Laplace transform of $\tau_S(x)$ is explicitly given by:

$$E\left(e^{-\theta\tau_S(x)}\right) = \frac{u(x)}{u(S)} . \tag{2.3}$$

In analogous manner, for $x \in [S,b]$ and $\theta \geq 0$, let $v(x) = v_\theta(x)$ be the solution of the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}v(x) = \theta v(x),\ x \in (S,b) \\ v'(b) = 0 \end{cases} . \tag{2.4}$$

Then, if $v(S) \neq 0$ for $S \in [a,x]$, the Laplace transform of $\widetilde{\tau}_S(x)$ is explicitly given by:

$$E\left(e^{-\theta\widetilde{\tau}_S(x)}\right) = \frac{v(x)}{v(S)} . \tag{2.5}$$

□

Remark 2.2 As already noted in [14], though neither u and v is unique, each of their ratios is unique. Thus, the Laplace transform of the first-hitting time of X to S can be obtained by solving a differential problem of the second order.

Let us consider e.g. $\tau_S(x)$, namely the FPT from below with the condition $X(0) = x \in [a,S]$, and denote by $M(\theta,x) = u(x)/u(S)$ the Laplace transform of $\tau_S(x)$ and by $T_n(x) = E[(\tau_S(x))^n]$

its moment of order n, $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$; as well-known, $T_n(x) = (-1)^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \theta^n} M(\theta, x)\big|_{\theta=0}$, if it exists finite. For fixed $\theta \geq 0$, one has $u(x) = u(S)M(\theta, x)$; so the problem (2.2) can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}M(\theta, x) = \theta M(\theta, x), \ x \in (a, S) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} M(\theta, x)\big|_{x=a} = 0 \end{cases} , \tag{2.6}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{L}$ acts on M only as a function of x.

Therefore, by taking the $n-th$ derivative of $M(\theta, x)$ with respect to θ in both members of equation (2.6), and calculating it at $\theta = 0$, we obtain:

Proposition 2.3 *For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the $n-th$ order moments of $\tau_S(x)$, if they exist finite, are the solutions to the problems:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}T_n(x) = -nT_{n-1}(x), \ x \in (a, S) \\ T_n(S) = 0, \ T_n'(a) = 0 \end{cases} , \tag{2.7}$$

where $T_0(x) \equiv 1$.

□

Analogous equations hold for the $n-th$ order moments of $\widetilde{\tau}_S(x)$, say $\widetilde{T}_n(x)$, but the equations hold for $x \in (S, b)$ and the second boundary conditions have to be replaced with $\widetilde{T}_n'(b) = 0$.

Now, we derive the explicit solutions of problems (2.2) and (2.7) for the Laplace transform and the moments of $\tau_S(x)$, in the case of reflected Brownian motion with drift μ, that is the diffusion $X^{(\mu)}$ with reflecting boundaries a and b, having infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}\phi(x) = \mu\phi'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(x)$. For $x \in [a, S]$, denote by $\tau_S^{(\mu)}(x)$ the FPT of $X^{(\mu)}$ through S from below, and by $T_n^{(\mu)}(x)$ its moment of order n; solving (2.2) by quadratures and using (2.3), we get that the Laplace transform of $\tau_S^{(\mu)}(x)$ is:

$$M^{(\mu)}(\theta, x) := E\left(e^{-\theta\tau_S^{(\mu)}(x)}\right)$$

$$= e^{-(S-x)(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}-\mu)} \cdot \frac{\theta e^{-2(x-a)\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}} + \mu^2 + \theta + \mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}}{\theta e^{-2(S-a)\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}} + \mu^2 + \theta + \mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}} . \tag{2.8}$$

For $a \to -\infty$ the right-hand member of (2.8) tends to $e^{-(S-x)(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}-\mu)}$, which is the well-known expression of the Laplace transform of the first-hitting time of ordinary Brownian motion with drift μ to S, when starting from $x < S$.

Taking the limit as μ goes to zero in (2.8), we obtain:

$$M^{(0)}(\theta, x) = E\left(e^{-\theta\tau_S^{(0)}(x)}\right) = \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{2\theta}} + e^{-(2a-x)\sqrt{2\theta}}}{e^{-S\sqrt{2\theta}} + e^{-(2a-S)\sqrt{2\theta}}} . \tag{2.9}$$

In the special case $a = 0$, the expression above writes:

$$\frac{e^{-x\sqrt{2\theta}} + e^{x\sqrt{2\theta}}}{e^{-S\sqrt{2\theta}} + e^{S\sqrt{2\theta}}} = \frac{cosh(x\sqrt{2\theta})}{cosh(S\sqrt{2\theta})}, \ x \in [0, S]. \tag{2.10}$$

Then, Laplace transform inversion yields that, for $a = 0$ and $x \in [0, S]$, the density of $\tau_S^{(0)}(x)$ is (cf. e.g. [16], [38]):

$$f^{(0)}(t|x) = \frac{\pi}{S^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cos\left[\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\pi x}{S}\right] \exp\left[-\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \frac{\pi^2 t}{2S^2}\right], \ t \geq 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Solving (2.7) with $n = 1$ by quadratures, we obtain the mean of $\tau_S^{(\mu)}(x)$:

$$T_1^{(\mu)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\mu^2}\left[e^{2\mu(a-S)} - e^{2\mu(a-x)}\right] + \frac{S-x}{\mu},\ x \in [a, S]. \tag{2.12}$$

Letting μ go to zero, we obtain:

$$T_1^{(0)}(x) = -x^2 + 2ax + S(S-2a),\ x \in [a, S]. \tag{2.13}$$

As for the second order moment, by solving (2.7) with $n = 2$ by quadratures, we get:

$$T_2^{(\mu)}(x) = \frac{x^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{x}{\mu^3}\left(e^{2\mu(a-S)} + 1 + 2S\mu + e^{2\mu(a-x)}\right) + c_1 + c_2 e^{-2\mu x}, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$c_2 = \frac{e^{2\mu a}}{2\mu^4}\ \left[4a\mu - e^{2\mu(a-S)} - 2 - 2S\mu\right],$$

and

$$c_1 = -c_2 e^{-2\mu S} + \frac{S}{\mu^3}\ \left[2e^{2\mu(a-S)} + 1 + S\mu\right].$$

For $\mu = 0$, we obtain:

$$T_2^{(0)}(x) = \frac{x^4}{3} - \frac{4}{3}ax^3 - 2S(S-2a)x^2 + Ax + B,\ x \in [a, S],$$

where

$$A = \frac{8}{3}a^3 + 4aS(S-2a),\ B = \frac{5}{3}S^4 - \frac{20}{3}aS^3 + 8S^2a^2 - \frac{8}{3}a^3S.$$

In particular, for $a = 0$, we get $T_1^{(0)}(x) = -x^2 + S^2$, $T_2^{(0)}(x) = \frac{x^4}{3} - 2S^2x^2 + \frac{5}{3}S^4$, and so the variance of $\tau_S^{(0)}(x)$ is $Var\left(\tau_S^{(0)}(x)\right) = \frac{2}{3}\left(S^4 - x^4\right),\ x \in [0, S]$.

Explicit formulae for the Laplace transform of the first-hitting time to a barrier S are known also for reflected OU process, reflected Bessel process and some other processes (see [14], [30]), but they involve special functions. An explicit spectral representation of the hitting time density was found in [38] for reflected BM, and in [32], [33] for Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) and OU processes.

2.2 The IFPT problem for reflected Brownian motion with drift

For a given barrier $S \in [a, b]$, and $X(0) = \eta \in [a, S]$, let τ_S be the FPT of $X(t)$ through S from below (see (1.3)), and suppose that $\tau_S(x)$ (i.e. the FPT conditional to $\eta = x$) is a.s. finite for every $x \in [a, S]$, and it possesses a density $f(t|x)$. Moreover, we suppose that the initial position η has a density $g(x)$ with support (a, S); for $\theta \geq 0$ we denote by $\widehat{f}(\theta|x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\theta x} f(t|x)dt$ the Laplace transform of $f(t|x)$ and by $\widehat{g}(\theta) = \int_a^S e^{-\theta x} g(x)dx$ the (possibly bilateral) Laplace transform of g. Then, the density of τ_S is obtained as $f(t) = \int_a^S f(t|x)g(x)dx$ and taking the Laplace transform on both sides we get:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta) = \int_a^S \widehat{f}(\theta|x)g(x)dx\ . \tag{2.15}$$

Now, we go to solve the IFPT problem, in the case when $X = X^{(\mu)}$ is reflected BM with drift μ, between the boundaries a and b.
For a given FPT distribution function F (or equivalently for a given FPT density $f = F'$) our aim is to find the density g of the random initial position η, if it exists, such that $P(\tau_S \leq t) = F(t)$. We are able to obtain the following:

Theorem 2.4 *For $S \in [a,b]$, let $X^{(\mu)}$ be BM with drift μ, reflected between the boundaries a and b and starting from the random position $\eta \in [a,S]$; suppose that the FPT of $X^{(\mu)}$ through S from below has an assigned probability density f and denote by $\widehat{f}(\theta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} f(t)dt,\ \theta \geq 0$, the Laplace transform of f. Then, if there exists a solution g to the IFPT problem for $X^{(\mu)}$, its Laplace transform $\widehat{g}$ must satisfy the equation:*

$$\widehat{f}(\theta) = \left[\theta e^{2a\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}}\widehat{g}\left(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}+\mu\right) + \left(\mu^2+\theta+\mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}\right)\widehat{g}\left(\mu-\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}\right)\right] \times$$

$$\left[\theta e^{-S(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}-\mu)}e^{2a\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}} + \left(\mu^2+\theta+\mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}\right)e^{S(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}+\mu)}\right]^{-1},\ \theta \geq 0. \tag{2.16}$$

In particular, if $\mu = 0$, the above formula takes the form:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta) = \frac{\widehat{g}(\sqrt{2\theta}) + \widehat{g}(-\sqrt{2\theta})e^{-2a\sqrt{2\theta}}}{e^{-S\sqrt{2\theta}} + e^{(S-2a)\sqrt{2\theta}}},\ \theta \geq 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Furthermore, if $\mu = 0$ and if the density g is required to be symmetric with respect to $(a+S)/2$, then:

$$\widehat{g}(\theta) = \frac{e^{-S\theta} + e^{-(2a-S)\theta}}{1+e^{(S-a)\theta}}\ \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\theta^2}{2}\right),\ \theta \geq 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. By using (2.8) with $M^{(\mu)}(\theta,x) = \widehat{f}(\theta|x)$, we have:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta) = \int_a^S \widehat{f}(\theta|x)g(x)dx$$

$$= e^{-S(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}-\mu)}\left[\theta e^{-2(S-a)\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}} + \mu^2+\theta+\mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}\right]^{-1} \times$$

$$\int_a^S e^{x(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}-\mu)}\left[\theta e^{-2(x-a)\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}} + \mu^2+\theta+\mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}\right]g(x)\ dx\ .$$

The integral can be written as

$$\int_a^S \left[\theta e^{-x(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}+\mu)}e^{2a\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}} + e^{x(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}-\mu)}\left(\mu^2+\theta+\mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}\right)\right]g(x)dx$$

$$= \theta e^{2a\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}}\widehat{g}(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}+\mu) + \left(\mu^2+\theta+\mu\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}\right)\widehat{g}(\sqrt{\mu^2+2\theta}-\mu).$$

Thus, by inserting this in the formula above, (2.16) follows, after some manipulation. Formula (2.17) is soon obtained, by taking $\mu = 0$; moreover, if one seeks that the density g is symmetric with respect to $(a+S)/2$, namely $\widehat{g}(-\theta) = e^{(a+S)\theta}\widehat{g}(\theta)$, one can explicit formula (2.17) with respect to the Laplace transform of g, and (2.18) follows.

□

Remark 2.5 Notice that, as in the case of the IFPT problem for ordinary diffusions, i.e. without reflecting (see e.g. [3]), [4], [25]) the function $\widehat{g}$ may not be the Laplace transform of some probability density function; in that case the IFPT problem has no solution. This is the reason why Theorem 2.4 is formulated in a conditional form. This kind of difficulty in showing the existence of a solution to an inverse first-passage time problem is common to another type of inverse problem (see e.g. [5]), in which one has to find the shape of the moving barrier $S(t)$ in such a way that the FPT of $X(t)$ over $S(t)$ for deterministic fixed initial condition, has a predetermined distribution F. A numerical solution to that inverse problem was found in [5]; the existence of the solution is, at the moment, a still open problem (see e.g. [46]).

If we replace reflected drifted Brownian motion with a more general reflected diffusion, we still obtain (2.15), of course; however, even if the explicit form of the Laplace transform $\widehat{f}(\theta|x)$ of the conditional FPT density $f(t|x)$ is available, in general the expression (2.15) cannot be put in relation with the Laplace transform of g calculated in some point (this happens for reflected drifted Brownian motion, thanks to the particular form of the Laplace transform of the FPT, which depends on x only by means of exponentials of linear functions of x (see (2.8)).

If $\widehat{f}(\theta)$ is analytic in a neighbor of $\theta = 0$, then the $k-$th order moments of τ_S exist finite and they are obtained in terms of $\widehat{f}(\theta)$ by $E(\tau_S^k) = (-1)^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \widehat{f}(\theta)|_{\theta=0}$. The same thing holds for the moments of η, if $\widehat{g}(\theta)$ is analytic. In the case of reflected drifted Brownian motion, by inserting the expression of $E(\tau_S^{(\mu)}(x))$ given by (2.12) into the equation

$$E(\tau_S^{(\mu)}) = \int_a^S E(\tau_S^{(\mu)}(x)) g(x) dx,$$

after some manipulation, we obtain:

$$E(\tau_S^{(\mu)}) = \frac{1}{\mu} E(S - \eta) - \frac{1}{2\mu^2}\ e^{2\mu a} E\left(e^{-2\mu\eta} - e^{-2\mu S}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Since it must be $E(\tau_S^{(\mu)}) \geq 0$, we obtain the compatibility condition:

$$\frac{1}{\mu} E(S - \eta) \geq \frac{1}{2\mu^2} e^{2\mu a} E\left(e^{-2\mu\eta} - e^{-2\mu S}\right). \tag{2.20}$$

In particular, if $\mu > 0$ we have $-\frac{1}{2\mu^2} e^{2\mu a} E\left(e^{-2\mu\eta} - e^{-2\mu S}\right) \leq 0$, because $\eta \leq S$; so from (2.19) we also obtain:

$$E(\tau_S^{(\mu)}) \leq \frac{1}{\mu} E(S - \eta). \tag{2.21}$$

Letting μ go to zero in (2.19), we get:

$$E(\tau_S^{(0)}) = -E(\eta^2) + 2aE(\eta) + S(S - 2a), \tag{2.22}$$

and the compatibility condition for $\mu = 0$ becomes

$$-E(\eta^2) + 2aE(\eta) + S(S - 2a) \geq 0.$$

Finally, taking into account that $E(S - \eta) \geq 0$, we get from (2.19):

$$\mu E(\tau_S^{(\mu)}) \geq -\frac{1}{2\mu}\ e^{2\mu a} E\left(e^{-2\mu\eta} - e^{-2\mu S}\right). \tag{2.23}$$

The compatibility conditions above are necessary so that the solution to the IFPT problem for regulated drifted BM exists, in the case of analytic Laplace transforms $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{g}$.

Remark 2.6 For regulated drifted BM $X^{(\mu)}$, starting from $\eta \in [a, S]$, we have considered the FPT through S from below. In analogous way, if $\eta \in [S, b]$, one can consider $\widetilde{\tau}_S^{(\mu)}$, namely the FPT of $X^{(\mu)}$ through S from above. By using the same arguments of Proposition 2.4, and (2.5), it is possible to write the Laplace transform of $\widetilde{\tau}_S^{(\mu)}$ in terms of the Laplace transform of η, if it exists; for instance, if $\mu = 0$, and we suppose that the density of η is symmetric with respect to $(S + b)/2$, then the solution g to the IFPT from above has the following Laplace transform (cf. with (2.18)):

$$\widehat{g}(\theta) = \frac{e^{-S\theta} + e^{-(2b-S)\theta}}{1 + e^{(S-b)\theta}}\ \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\theta^2}{2}\right),\ \theta \geq 0. \tag{2.24}$$

Throughout the rest of the paper, for IFPT problem we will mean the problem concerning the FPT from below, namely, τ_S.

Remark 2.7 Let $X(t)$ be regulated BM; if τ_S has Gamma distribution, there is no hope that there exists the solution g to the IFPT problem, with g symmetric with respect to $(a+S)/2$. In fact, suppose that $f(t)$ is a Gamma density with some parameters $\alpha,\ \lambda > 0$, namely $\widehat{f}(\theta) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\theta+\lambda}\right)^{\alpha}$ and take $a = 0$ and $S = 1$ for the sake of simplicity; then, inserting this expression of $\widehat{f}(\theta)$ into (2.18), and calculating the second derivative at zero of the candidate $\widehat{g}$, we see that it is ≤ 0, implying that $\widehat{g}$ is not the Laplace transform of any density g of η, since it should be $E(\eta^2) = \widehat{g}''(0) \leq 0$, which is impossible.

Now, we further investigate the question of the existence of solutions to the IFPT problem. Referring to regulated drifted BM, we will prove the existence of the density g of the initial position $\eta \in [a, S]$ for a class of FPT densities f. For the sake of simplicity, we limit ourselves to the case when $\mu = 0,\ a = 0,\ S = 1 < b$ and g is required to be symmetric with respect to $1/2$; in fact, for $\mu \neq 0$ the calculations involved are far more complicated.
For any integer $k \geq 0$, set $I_k(\theta) = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-\theta x} x^k dx$; as easily seen, $I_0(\theta) = 2\sinh(\theta)/\theta$ and the recursive relation $I_k(\theta) = \frac{(-1)^k e^{\theta} - e^{-\theta}}{\theta} + \frac{k}{\theta} I_{k-1}(\theta)$ allows to calculate $I_k(\theta)$, for every k.

The following Proposition gives a sufficient condition, in order that there exists the solution to the IFPT problem for regulated BM.

Proposition 2.8 *Let X be regulated BM between the boundaries 0 and b, and let $S = 1 < b$; suppose that the Laplace transform of $f(t)$ has the form:*

$$\widehat{f}(\theta) = \widehat{f}_{2k}(\theta) := \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{\theta/2})}{\cosh(\sqrt{2\theta})} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2k}\right) \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}} \sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}\right) - I_{2k}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}\right)\right], \tag{2.25}$$

for some integer $k > 0$. Then, there exists the solution $g = g_{2k}$ of the IFPT problem for X, relative to the FPT density f, and it results:

$$g_{2k}(x) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2k}\right) \left(1 - (2x-1)^{2k}\right),\ x \in (0,1). \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. We verify that g_{2k} is the solution of the IFPT problem; a simple calculation shows that

$$\widehat{g}_{2k}(\theta) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2k}\right) e^{-\theta/2} \left[\frac{2}{\theta} \sinh(\theta/2) - I_{2k}(\theta/2)\right].$$

Since g_{2k} is symmetric with respect to $1/2$, the result follows by inserting $\widehat{g}_{2k}$ into (2.18).

□

Remark 2.9 A straightforward calculation shows that, if $\eta \in (0,1)$ has density g_{2k}, then $E(\eta^2) = \frac{4k+5}{6(2k+3)}$. By inserting $E(\eta^2)$ into (2.22) with $a = 0,\ S = 1$, we obtain that the FPT-distribution corresponding to $\widehat{f}_{2k}$ has mean $E(\tau_1^{(0)}) = \frac{8k+13}{6(2k+3)}$.

As an application of the results for regulated BM, we consider now the piecewise-continuous process $\xi(t)$, obtained by superimposing to BM a jump process, namely, for $\eta \in [a, S]$ and $t < T$, we set $\xi(t) = \eta + B_t$, where T is an exponentially distributed time with parameter $\lambda > 0$; we suppose that for $t = T$ the process $\xi(t)$ makes an upward jump and it crosses the barrier S, irrespective of its state before the occurrence of the jump. This kind of behavior is observed e.g. in the presence of a so called *catastrophes* (see e.g. [18]). Next, let us consider the reflected diffusion $\overline{X}$ with boundaries

$a,\ b$, associated to ξ. Then, for $\eta \in [a, S]$ the FPT of $\overline{X}$ over S is $\overline{\tau}_S = \inf\{t > 0 : \overline{X}(t) \geq S\}$. Conditionally on $\eta = x$, we have:

$$P(\overline{\tau}_S(x) \leq t) = P(\overline{\tau}_S(x) \leq t | t < T)P(t < T) + 1 \cdot P(t \geq T) = P(\tau_S(x) \leq t)e^{-\lambda t} + (1 - e^{-\lambda t}).$$

Taking the derivative, we obtain the FPT density of $\overline{X}$, conditional to the starting position x :

$$\overline{f}(t|x) = e^{-\lambda t} f(t|x) + \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \int_t^{+\infty} f(s|x) ds.$$

By straightforward calculations, we obtain its Laplace transform:

$$\widehat{\overline{f}}(\theta|x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\theta t} \overline{f}(t|x) dt = \frac{\theta}{\lambda + \theta} \widehat{f}(\lambda + \theta|x) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}\ ,\ \theta \geq 0.$$

Finally, from the equation $\widehat{\overline{f}}(\theta) = \int_a^S \widehat{\overline{f}}(\theta|x)\overline{g}(x)dx$, where $\overline{f}$ is the FPT density of $\overline{X}$, and $\overline{g}$ is the density of η, we get $\widehat{\overline{f}}$. For the sake of simplicity, we limit ourselves to the case when $a = 0$ and the density $\overline{g}$ is symmetric with respect to $S/2$. Then, by using Theorem 2.4, we get:

$$\widehat{\overline{f}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\lambda + \theta} \left[\theta \cdot \frac{\widehat{\overline{g}}\left(\sqrt{2(\lambda + \theta)}\ \right)\left(1 + e^{S\sqrt{2(\lambda+\theta)}}\ \right)}{2\cosh\left(S\sqrt{2(\lambda + \theta)}\right)} + \lambda \right].$$

Thus, by solving with respect to $\widehat{\overline{g}}(\theta)$, we have obtained:

Proposition 2.10 *For $a = 0 < S < b$, if there exists a function $\overline{g}$, symmetric with respect to $S/2$, which is the solution to the IFPT problem of $\overline{X}(t)$, relative to S and the FPT density $\overline{f}$, then its Laplace transform is given by:*

$$\widehat{\overline{g}}(\theta) = \frac{2\cosh(S\theta)}{(\theta^2/2 - \lambda)(1 + e^{S\theta})} \left[\frac{\theta^2}{2}\ \widehat{\overline{f}}\left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \lambda\right) - \lambda \right]. \tag{2.27}$$

□

Remark 2.11 For $\lambda = 0$, namely when no jump occurs, (2.27) reduces to (2.18) with $a = 0$.

2.3 Reduction of reflected diffusions to reflected Brownian motion

In certain cases, a reflected diffusion X can be reduced to reflected BM by a variable change; by using this approach, we shall extend to reflected diffusions the results obtained for reflected BM. On the analogy of the definition holding for ordinary diffusions (see e.g. [4], [5]), we introduce the following:

Definition 2.12 *Let $X(t)$ be a diffusion with reflecting boundaries a and b, which is driven by the SDER:*

$$dX(t) = \mu(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB_t + dL_t - dU_t,\ X(0) = x \in [a, b].$$

We say that $X(t)$ is conjugated to regulated BM if there exists an increasing differentiable function $V(x)$, with $V(0) = 0$, such that $X(t) = V^{-1}\left(B_t + V(x) + \overline{L}_t - \overline{U}_t\right)$, for any $t \geq 0$, where $\overline{L}_t = V'(a)L_t$ and $\overline{U}_t = V'(b)U_t$ are regulators.

A class of reflected diffusions which are conjugated to regulated BM is given by processes which are solutions of SDERs such as:

$$dX(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(X(t))\sigma'(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB_t + L_t - U_t,\ X(0) = x \tag{2.28}$$

with $\sigma(\cdot) \geq 0$. Indeed, if the integral $V(x) := \int^x \frac{1}{\sigma(r)}dr$ is convergent, by Itô's formula for reflected diffusions (see e.g. [21]), one gets $V(X(t)) = B_t + V(x) + V'(a)L_t - V'(b)U_t)$.

Let us consider a diffusion X, with reflecting boundaries a and b, which is conjugated to regulated BM via the function V. Then, the process $Y(t) := V(X(t))$ is regulated BM between the boundaries $V(a)$ and $V(b)$, starting from $V(x)$, that is:

$$Y(t) = V(x) + B_t + \overline{L}_t - \overline{U}_t\ ,$$

where $\overline{L}_t = V'(a)L_t$ and $\overline{U}_t = V'(b)U_t$ are the regulators of $Y(t)$, which increase only when $Y = V(a)$ and $Y = V(b)$, respectively Thus, for $x \in [a, S]$:

$$\tau_S(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) = S | X(0) = x\} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y(t) = V(S)\} = \tau^Y_{S'}(V(x)),$$

where $S' = V(S)$ and the superscript refers to the process Y. Moreover, if the initial position $\eta = X(0) \in [a, S]$ is random, its density $g(x)$ is related to the corresponding density $\widetilde{g}$ of the initial position $\widetilde{\eta} = V(\eta) \in [V(a), V(S)]$ of $Y(t)$, by the equation $\widetilde{g}(y) = g(V^{-1}(y))(V^{-1})'(y),\ y \in [V(a), V(S)]$. Furthermore, the density $f(t)$ of τ_S and its Laplace transform are:

$$f(t) = \int_{V(a)}^{V(S)} f^Y(t|V(y))\widetilde{g}(y)dy \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}(\theta) = \int_{V(a)}^{V(S)} \widehat{f}^Y(\theta|V(y))\widetilde{g}(y)dy,$$

where $f^Y(t|y)$ is the density of $\tau^Y_{S'}(y)$ and $\widehat{f}^Y(\theta|y)$ is its Laplace transform. Therefore, if X is conjugated to regulated BM via the function V, then the solution g to the IFPT problem for X, relative to the FPT density f and the barrier S, can be written in terms of the solution $\widetilde{g}$ to the IFPT problem for regulated BM $Y(t)$ relative to the FPT density f and the barrier $V(S)$, by using that $g(x) = \widetilde{g}(V(x))V'(x)$. From Theorem 2.4 it follows that the Laplace transform of $\widetilde{g}$ satisfies (2.17), with $\widehat{g}$ replaced by $\widehat{\widetilde{g}},\ \eta$ replaced with $\widetilde{\eta}$, a replaced with $V(a)$, and S replaced with $V(S)$; in particular, if one seeks that $\widetilde{g}$ is symmetric with respect to $(V(a) + V(S))/2$, then (see (2.18)) the Laplace transform of $\widetilde{g}$ is explicitly given by:

$$\widehat{\widetilde{g}}(\theta) = \frac{e^{-V(S)\theta} + e^{-(2V(a)-V(S))\theta}}{1 + e^{(V(S)-V(a))\theta}}\ \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\theta^2}{2}\right),\ \theta \geq 0. \tag{2.29}$$

By inverting this Laplace transform, one can get $\widetilde{g}$ and therefore g.

3 A few examples

Example 1 Let $X(t)$ be regulated BM with boundaries $a,\ b$ $(a < S < b)$, starting from $\eta \in [a, S]$ and consider the FPT density:

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{(S-a)^2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \pi^2 t}{2(S-a)^2}\right], \tag{3.1}$$

or the corresponding FPT Laplace transform:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta) = \frac{\tanh((S-a)\sqrt{2\theta})}{(S-a)\sqrt{2\theta}}\ . \tag{3.2}$$

Then, the solution g to the IFPT problem for $X(t)$ is the uniform density in (a,S).
To verify this, we proceed backwardly; suppose that $g(x)=\mathbf{1}_{(a,S)}(x)\frac{1}{S-a}$, so:

$$\widehat{g}(\theta)=\int_a^S e^{-\theta x}g(x)dx=\frac{e^{-a\theta}-e^{-S\theta}}{(S-a)\theta}\ .$$

Since g is symmetric with respect $(a+S)/2$, we can use (2.18); after calculation, we obtain:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta)=\frac{\sinh((S-a)\sqrt{2\theta})}{\sqrt{2\theta}(S-a)\cosh(\sqrt{2\theta}(S-a))}\ ,$$

which yields (3.2). In particular, for $a=0,\ S=1$, (3.1) and (3.2) become:

$$f(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\pi^2 t\right]\ \text{and}\ \widehat{f}(\theta)=\frac{\tanh(\sqrt{2\theta})}{\sqrt{2\theta}}\ . \tag{3.3}$$

The first three moments of this FPT distribution are $E\left[\tau_1^{(0)}\right]=\frac{2}{3},\ E\left[(\tau_1^{(0)})^2\right]=\frac{16}{15},\ E\left[(\tau_1^{(0)})^3\right]=\frac{272}{105}$, and the solution g to the IFPT problem is the uniform density in $(0,1)$.

Example 2 For $a=0<S<b$, let $X(t)$ be regulated BM starting from $\eta\in[a,S]$, and consider the FPT density whose Laplace transform is:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta)=\frac{\pi^2}{2}\ \frac{1+\cosh(S\sqrt{2\theta})}{\cosh(S\sqrt{2\theta})(2\theta S^2+\pi^2)}$$

(if e.g. $S=1$, the Taylor expansion of $\widehat{f}$ up to the second order is $\widehat{f}(\theta)=1-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{\pi^2}\right)\theta+\left(\frac{5}{12}+\frac{1}{\pi^2}+\frac{4}{\pi^4}\right)\theta^2+o(\theta^2),\ \theta\to 0$). Then, the solution to the IFPT problem for X is $g(x)=\frac{\pi}{2S}\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{S}\right),\ x\in(0,S)$.
In fact, if we search for a solution which is symmetric with respect to $S/2$, we find by (2.18) that $\widehat{g}(\theta)=\frac{\pi^2}{2}\ \frac{\left(1+e^{-\theta S}\right)}{\theta^2S^2+\pi^2}$, which is indeed the Laplace transform of the function $g(x)$ above.

Example 3 Take $a=0,\ S=1$, and let $X(t)$ be regulated BM starting from $\eta\in[0,1]$; consider the FPT density whose Laplace transform is:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta)=\frac{\left(1+e^{\sqrt{2\theta}}\right)\left(e^{\sqrt{2\theta}}-2e^{\sqrt{\theta/2}}+1\right)}{\theta\cosh(\sqrt{2\theta})e^{\sqrt{2\theta}}}$$

(the Taylor expansion of $\widehat{f}$ up to the second order is $\widehat{f}(\theta)=1-\frac{17}{24}\theta+\frac{811}{1440}\theta^2+o(\theta^2),\ \theta\to 0$).
Then, the solution to the IFPT problem for X is the triangular density in $[0,1]$:

$$g(x)=\begin{cases}4x, & x\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]\\ 4x(1-x), & x\in(\frac{1}{2},1]\end{cases}\ .$$

In fact, if we search for a solution which is symmetric with respect to $1/2$, we find by (2.18) that $\widehat{g}(\theta)=\frac{4}{\theta^2}\left(1-e^{-\theta/2}\right)^2$, which is indeed the Laplace transform of the function $g(x)$ above.

Example 4 Take $a=0,\ S=1$, and let $X(t)$ be regulated BM starting from $\eta\in[0,1]$; consider the FPT density whose Laplace transform is:

$$\widehat{f}(\theta)=\frac{3\left(1+e^{\sqrt{2\theta}}\right)\left(e^{-\sqrt{2\theta}}(\sqrt{2\theta}+2)+\sqrt{2\theta}-2\right)}{\theta\sqrt{2\theta}\left(e^{\sqrt{2\theta}}+e^{-\sqrt{2\theta}}\right)}$$

(the Taylor expansion of $\widehat{f}$ up to the second order is $\widehat{f}(\theta) = 1 - \frac{7}{10}\theta + \frac{39}{70}\theta^2 + o(\theta^2),\ \theta \to 0$).
Then, the solution g to the IFPT problem for X is a Beta density in $[0,1]$, i.e. $g(x) = 6x(1-x)$. In fact, if we search for a solution which is symmetric with respect to $1/2$, we find by (2.18) that $\widehat{g}(\theta) = \frac{6}{\theta^3}\left((\theta+2)e^{-\theta} + \theta - 2\right)$, which is indeed the Laplace transform of the function $g(x)$ above. Notice that $\widehat{f}$ and g are obtained as special cases of $\widehat{f}_{2k}$ and g_{2k} of Proposition 2.8, for $k = 1$.

Example 5 For $0 = a < S < b$ let $\overline{X}$ be the jump-process considered at the end of subsection 2.2., and let:

$$\widehat{\overline{f}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\lambda+\theta}\left[\frac{\theta \cdot \tanh(S\sqrt{2(\lambda+\theta)})}{S\sqrt{2(\lambda+\theta)}} + \lambda\right].$$

By Laplace inversion, one obtains:

$$\overline{f}(t) = e^{-\lambda t}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{S^2} + \frac{2\lambda S^2}{(k+\frac{1}{2})^2\pi^2}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{(k+1/2)^2\pi^2 t}{2S^2}\right)\right],$$

which can be written as

$$\overline{f}(t) = e^{-\lambda t}\phi(t) + \lambda e^{-\lambda t}\int_t^{\infty}\phi(s)ds, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\phi(t)$ is the FPT density considered in Example 1 with $a = 0$, i.e.:

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{S^2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{(k+1/2)^2\pi^2 t}{2S^2}\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Then, the solution $\overline{g}$ to the IFPT problem for $\overline{X}(t)$, relative to $S \in (0,b)$ and $\widehat{\overline{f}}$, which is symmetric with respect to $S/2$, is the uniform density in $(0,S)$.
To verify this, it suffices to insert $\overline{g}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0,S)}(x)\frac{1}{S}$ into the equation (2.27) and to make some arrangements, by taking also into account the properties of Laplace transform.

Example 6 (Reflected geometric Brownian motion). Let $0 < a < S < b$, and $X(t)$ the solution of the SDER:

$$dX(t) = rX(t)dt + \sigma X(t)dB_t + dL_t - dU_t,\ X(0) = \eta \in [a,S],$$

where r and σ are positive constant. The equation without reflecting is well-known in the framework of Mathematical Finance, since it describes the time evolution of a stock price. As easily seen, $\ln X(t) = \ln\eta + \mu t + \sigma B_t + \bar{L}_t - \bar{U}_t$, where $\mu = r - \sigma^2/2$ and $\bar{L}_t, \bar{U}_t$ are regulators; thus, $\ln X(t)/\sigma$ is regulated BM with drift μ/σ, between the boundaries $\frac{\ln a}{\sigma}$, $\frac{\ln b}{\sigma}$. Then, the IFPT problem for $X(t)$ relative to S and the FPT density f, is reduced to the IFPT problem for regulated drifted BM, starting from $\frac{\ln\eta}{\sigma}$, relative to $\frac{\ln S}{\sigma}$ and the same FPT density f. Explicit examples can be obtained from Examples 1-4.

Example 7 Let X be a reflected diffusion in $[a,b]$, which is conjugated to regulated BM via the function V; then, examples of solutions to the IFPT problem for X can be obtained from Examples 1-4 regarding regulated BM.
For instance, let us consider the FPT density

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{(V(S)-V(a))^2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\pi^2 t}{2(V(S)-V(a))^2}\right], \tag{3.6}$$

Then, the solution to the IFPT problem for X relative to the barrier S $(a < S < b)$ is:

$$g(x) = \frac{V'(x)}{V(S)-V(a)} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(a,S)}(x). \tag{3.7}$$

In fact, from (2.29) and Example 1, $\widetilde{\eta} = V(\eta)$ turns out to be uniformly distributed in the interval $(V(a), V(S))$, and so the relation $g(x) = \widetilde{g}(V(x))V'(x)$ yields (3.7).

As explicit examples of reflected diffusions X which are conjugated to regulated BM, we mention the following.

(i) The process driven by

$$dX(t) = \frac{1}{3}X(t)^{1/3}dt + X(t)^{2/3}\ dB_t + dL_t - dU_t\ ,\ X(0) = \eta \in [a,b], \tag{3.8}$$

which is conjugated to regulated BM via the function $V(x) = 3x^{1/3}$ i.e. $X(t) = \left(\eta^{1/3} + \frac{1}{3}B_t + \overline{L}_t - \overline{U}_t\right)^3$. Here, as well as in the next examples, $\overline{L}_t = V'(a)L_t$ and $\overline{U}_t = V'(b)U_t$.

(ii) For $c > 0$, the process driven by

$$dX(t) = \frac{3c^2}{8}(X(t))^{1/2}dt + c(X(t))^{3/4}\ dB_t + dL_t - dU_t\ ,\ X(0) = \eta \in [a,b]\ (a \geq 0), \tag{3.9}$$

which is conjugated to regulated BM via the function $V(x) = \frac{4}{c}x^{1/4}$ i.e. $X(t) = \left(\eta^{1/4} + \frac{c}{4}B_t + \overline{L}_t - \overline{U}_t\right)^4$.

(iii) (Feller process or CIR model)

For $b > a \geq 0$, the process driven by

$$dX(t) = \frac{1}{4}dt + \sqrt{X(t)}\ dB_t + dL_t - dU_t\ , X(0) = \eta \in [a,b], \tag{3.10}$$

which is conjugated to regulated BM via the function $V(x) = 2\sqrt{x}$ i.e. $X(t) = \frac{1}{4}(B_t + 2\sqrt{\eta} + \overline{L}_t - \overline{U}_t)^2$. Notice that the process is always ≥ 0.

(iv) (Wright & Fisher-like process)

For $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$, the process driven by:

$$dX(t) = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}X(t)\right)dt + \sqrt{X(t)(1-X(t))}\ dB_t + dL_t - dU_t\ , X(0) = \eta \in [a,b],$$

which is conjugated to regulated BM via the function $V(x) = 2\arcsin\sqrt{x}$. This equation is used for instance in the Wright-Fisher model for population genetics and in certain diffusion models for neural activity [28]; it results $X(t) = \sin^2(B_t/2 + \arcsin\sqrt{\eta} + \overline{L}_t - \overline{U}_t)$ and so $X(t) \in [0,1]$ for all $t \geq 0$. Notice that, if we take $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, both boundaries are attainable and there is no need for reflection in a and b, because the process without reflecting cannot exit the interval $[0,1]$, for any time t (see e.g. [4]).

If the FPT density is given by (3.6), from (3.7) we obtain that the solutions to the IFPT problems for the processes (i)–(iv) above, relative to the barrier S, are explicitly given by:
$g(x) = \left[3x^{2/3}\left(S^{1/3} - a^{1/3}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(a,S)}(x)$ (i), $g(x) = \frac{1}{4}\left[x^{3/4}\left(S^{1/4} - a^{1/4}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(a,S)}(x)$ (ii),
$g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{x}\left(\sqrt{S} - \sqrt{a}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(a,S)}(x)$ (iii), and $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\arcsin\sqrt{S} - \arcsin\sqrt{a}\right)\sqrt{x(1-x)}\ \right]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(a,S)}(x)$ (iv).

References

[1] Abate, J. and Whitt, W. Transient behavior of regulated Brownian motion. I. Starting at the origin. Adv. Appl. Prob. 1987, 19: 560–598.

[2] Abate, J. and Whitt, W. Transient behavior of regulated Brownian motion. II. Nonzero initial conditions. Adv. Appl. Prob. 1987, 19: 599–631.

[3] Abundo, M. The double-barrier inverse first-passage problem for Wiener process with random starting point. Statist. Probab. Lett. 2013, 83: 168–176.

[4] Abundo, M. An inverse first-passage problem for one-dimensional diffusions with random starting point. Statist. Probab. Lett. 2012, 82 (1): 7–14.

[5] Abundo, M. Limit at zero of the first-passage time density and the inverse problem for one-dimensional diffusions. Stochastic Anal. Appl. 2006, 24: 1119–1145.

[6] Abundo, M. On first-passage-times for one-dimensional jump-diffusion processes. Prob. Math. Statis. 2000, 20 (2): 399–423.

[7] Abundo, M. On some properties of one-dimensional diffusion processes on an interval. Prob. Math. Statis. 1997, 17 (2): 235–268.

[8] Abundo, M. On the First Hitting Time of a One-dimensional Diffusion and a Compound Poisson Process. Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. 2010, 12: 473–490; doi: 10.1007/s11009-008- 9115-1

[9] Abundo, M. On the first-passage time of diffusion processes over a one-sided stochastic boundary. Stochastic Anal. Appl. 2003, 21 (1): 1–23.

[10] Abundo, M. Some conditional crossing results of Brownian motion over a piecewise-linear boundary. Statistics and Probability Letters 2002, 58 (2): 131–145.

[11] Abundo, M. On first-crossing times of one-dimensional diffusions over two time-dependent boundaries. Stochastic Anal. Appl. 2000, 18 (2): 179–200.

[12] Ball, C.A. and Roma, A. Detecting mean reversions within reflecting barriers: applications to the European exchange rate mechanism. Appl. Math. Finance 1998, 5: 1–15.

[13] Bertolla, G. and Caballero, R.J. Target zones and realignments. Amer. Econom. Rev. 1992, 82: 520–536.

[14] Chuancun, Y. and Huiqing, W. The first passage time and the dividend value function for one-dimensional diffusion processes between two relecting barriers. International Journal of Stochastic Analysis 2012, Article ID971212: 1–15; doi:10.1155/2012/971212.

[15] Daniels, H.E. The minimum of a stationary Markov process superimposed on a U-shaped trend. J. Appl. Probab. 1969, 6: 369–408.

[16] Darling, D. A. and Siegert, A.J.F. The first passage problem for a continuous Markov process. Ann. Math. Statistics 1953, 24: 624–639.

[17] De Jong, F. A univariate analysis of European monetary system exchange rates using a target zone model. J. Appl. Econometrics 1994, 9: 31–45.

[18] Di Crescenzo, A., Giorno, V., Nobile, A. G., Ricciardi, L. M. On the M/M/1 queue with catastrophes and its continuous approximation. Queueing Sys. 2003, 43 (4): 329–347.

[19] Durbin, J. Boundary crossing probability for the Brownian motion and Poisson processes and techniques for computing the power of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. J. Appl. Probab. 1971, 8: 431–453.

[20] Gihman, I.I. and Skorohod, A.V. Stochastic differential equations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[21] Harrison, M. Brownian motion and Stochastic Flow Systems. John Wiley, New York, 1985

[22] Has' minskij, R.Z. Stochastic stability of differential equations. Alphen a/d Rijn, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, 1980

[23] Ikeda, N. and Watanabe, S. Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1981

[24] Itô, K. and McKean, H.P. Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974

[25] Jackson, K, Kreinin, A, and Zhang, W. Randomization in the first hitting problem. Statis. Probab. Lett. 2009, 79: 2422–2428.

[26] Karlin, S. and Taylor, H.M. A second course in stochastic processes. Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[27] Krugman, P.R. Target zones and exchange rate dynamics. Quart. J. Econom. 1991, 106: 669–682.

[28] Lanska, V., Lansky, P. and Smiths, C.E. Synaptic transmission in a diffusion model for neural activity. J. Theor. Biol. 1994, 166: 393–406.

[29] Lansky, P. and Smith, C.E. The effect of a random initial value in neural first- passage-time models. Math. Biosci. 1989, 93 (2): 191-215.

[30] Lijun, B., Lidong, Z., Yongjin, W. On the first passage times of reflected O-U processes with two-sided barriers. Queueing Sys. 2006, 54: 313–316.

[31] Linetsky, V. On the transition densities for reflected diffusions. Adv. Appl. Prob. 2005, 37: 435–460.

[32] Linetsky, V. Computing hitting time densities for CIR and OU diffusions: applications to mean-reverting models. Journal of Computational Finance 2004, 7: 1–22.

[33] Linetsky, V. Lookback options and diffusion hitting times: a spectral expansion approach. Finance and Stochastics 2004, 8(3): 373–398.

[34] Lions, P.L. and Sznitman, A.S. Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary conditions. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 1984, 37 (4): 511–537.

[35] Martin-Lof, A. The final size of a nearly critical epidemic, and the first-passage time of a Wiener process to a parabolic barrier. J. Appl. Probab. 1998, 85: 671–682.

[36] McKean, H.P. A Skorohod's integral equation for a reflecting barrier diffusion. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1963, 3: 86–88.

[37] McKean, H.P. Stochastic Integrals. Academic Press, New York, 1969.

[38] Qin Hu, Yong Jin Wang, Xuewei Yang. The hitting time density for a reflected Brownian motion. Comput Econ 2012, 40(1): 1–18.

[39] Ricciardi, L.M. and Sacerdote, L. On the probability densities of a Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with a reflecting boundary. J. Appl. Prob. 1987, 24: 355–369.

[40] Ricciardi, L.M. and Sato, S. Diffusion processes and first-passage-time problems. In: Ricciardi L.M. (Ed.) *Lectures in applied Mathematics and Informatics.* Manchester University Press, Manchester, 1990.

[41] Salminen, P. On the first hitting time and the last exit time for a Brownian motion to/from a moving boundary. Adv. Appl. Prob. 1998, 20: 411–426.

[42] Skorohod, A.V. Stochastic equations for diffusion processes in a bounded region 1; 2. Theor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 1961, 6: 264–274; 1962, 7: 3–23.

[43] Srikant, R. and Whitt, W. Simulation run lenghts to estimate blocking probabilities. ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul. 1996, 6: 7–52.

[44] Svensson, L.E.O. THe term structure of interest rate differentials in a target zone. Theory and Swedish data. J. Monetary Econom. 1991, 28: 87–116.

[45] Veestraeten, D. The conditional probability density function for a reflected Brownian motion. Comput. Econom. 2004, 23: 185–207.

[46] Zucca, C. and Sacerdote, L. On the inverse first-passage-time problem for a Wiener process. Ann. Appl. Prob. 2009, 19 (4): 1319-1346.

[47] Ward, A.R. and Glynn, P. W. A diffusion approximation for a Markovian queue with reneging. Queueing Sys. 2003, 43: 103-128.

[48] Ward, A.R. and Glynn, P. W. Properties of the reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Queueing Sys. 2003, 44: 109-123.